

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/541,443 | 03/31/2000 | Rick Dedrick | 042390.P7955 | 3695 |
| 759 | 90 11/21/2002 | | | |
| Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP Donna Jo Coningsby 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | GREENE, DANIEL L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3621 | <u>.</u> |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002 | ! |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Applicati n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 09/541,443 | DEDRICK ET AL. | | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Daniel L. Greene | 3621 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Daniel L. Greene</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Lisa Tom</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>19 November 2002</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Tom requested clarification of the Allowable Subject Matter Section. . The Ms. Tom requested the written subjest matter and approved the use of the phrase separate lending server as opposed to lending library. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) i) it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Examiner Not $\,:\,$ You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required